

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

### **TELEPHONE INTERVIEW**

Applicants thank the Examiner for the telephone interview conducted January 4, 2007. Applicant believes the amendments made herein are in accordance with the results of said interview.

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

Applicants herein repeat their prior amendment to independent claim 1 to include a limitation requiring that the antistatic agent is pre-contacted with a scavenger before introduction into the reactor. Support for this amendment may be found, *inter alia*, in paragraph [0095] of the application as originally filed. Claim 62 has been amended in the current submission in a similar manner.

Claims 2, 7, 8, 16-61, 63, 68, 69 and 78-94 stand cancelled.

No new matter has been introduced.

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION**

Applicants have amended paragraphs [00111] and [00112] of the Application to correct typographical errors and clarify certain aspects of the examples. No new matter has been introduced.

### **RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Applicants have cancelled claims 16-61 and 78-93 which were originally part of Group I and have added new dependent claim 95 to include those polymerization processes originally recited in claims 16, 47 and 78.

Applicants have amended independent claim 62 to include a limitation requiring the antistatic agent to be pre-contacted with a scavenger before introduction into the polymerization reactor. This limitation is similar to that made to claim 1.

### **CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 USC § 112 AND 103**

Applicants, for brevity's sake, do not repeat herein their arguments regarding prior claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 112 and 103 submitted in their Request for Continued Examination filed November 15, 2006.

**CONCLUSION**

Having demonstrated that the cited reference fails to disclose the invention as claimed, all remaining objections and rejections having been overcome, this application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants request early and favorable reconsideration in the form of a Notice of Allowance.

If necessary to affect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to affect a timely response. Please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1712 (Docket #: 2003B061/2).

Respectfully submitted,

January 4, 2007

Date

/Shawn H. Foster/

Shawn H. Foster  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 56,538

ExxonMobil Chemical Co.  
Law Technology  
P.O. Box 2149  
Baytown, Texas 77522-2149  
Phone: 281-834-2173  
Fax: 281-834-2495